

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,215	06/24/2003	Neema M. Kulkarni	PC 21501B	2258
28880 75	90 02/28/2005		EXAMINER	
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY			COOK, REBECCA	
2800 PLYMOUTH RD ANN ARBOR, MI 48105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\hookrightarrow					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/602,215	KULKARNI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rebecca Cook	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>13 December 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for al		-			
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	,			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		• • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received in a	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
application from the International B					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date,			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 02092005			

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the amendment to claim 15 the earlier objection under 37 CFR 1.75 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a composition comprising a GABA analog and a method for its use, does not reasonably provide enablement for said composition when it contains a polyhydric alcohol. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. WO 99/59573 (page 59, table 4) discloses that the presence of a polyhydric alcohol in an aqueous gabapentin solution increases lactam formation.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of the plural in "cerebral diseases" and "and" between "skeletal pain" and "migraine" is confusing, since it appears that the subject must have all of the diseases.

The recitation "including" on line 2 renders it unclear if all of the conditions recited in claim 15 are intended to be cerebral diseases.

The use of the word "including" on lines 2 and 5 render it unclear what other conditions are intended to be included as "cerebral diseases" and "pain."

Art Unit: 1614

In view of the amendments to the claims the earlier rejections under 35 USC 112 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/59573 for the reasons given in the Office Action of September 13, 2004. WO 99//59573 discloses the instant liquid composition of a GABA analog and its use to treat the disorders recited in newly amended claim 15 (page 2).

Applicants argue that WO 99//59573 shows that the addition of a polyhydric alcohol to an aqueous gabapentin solution increases lactam formation whereas the instant composition results in a stable liquid pharmaceutical composition. This is not persuasive, since there is no data in the instant specification to support this assertion. They further argue that WO 99//59573 does not suggest the instant pH range. However, pH limitation is one that is determined by one skilled in the art and does not impart patentability. In re Aller et al 105 USPQ 233.

Action Is Final

Applicant's amendment and argument regarding the use of a polyhydric alcohol necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly,

Application/Control Number: 10/602,215

Art Unit: 1614

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0571. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Renee Jones (571) 272-0547 in Customer Service.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Rebecca Cook

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

February 23, 2005